## **EXHIBIT A**

Paul O'Donnell

8/03/2006

```
1
 1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 2
 3
     LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY and
     NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE
 4
     COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,
                                            CIVIL ACTION NO.
 5
                                            04-11109 RGS
 6
                 Plaintiffs,
 7
                                            Deposition of:
 8
                                            PAUL O'DONNELL
               V.
 9
     VIRGINIA SURETY COMPANY, INC.,
10
                  Defendants.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
              Transcript of testimony as taken by and before
     Francesca DiBella, RPR and a Certified Shorthand Reporter
18
19
     and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
     offices of YORK & CO., 22 Paragon Way, Freehold, New
2.0
21
     2Jersey, on Thursday, August 3, 2006, commencing at
22
     2:00 a.m. in the forenoon.
23
24
25
     Job No. 1803-80081
```

Paul	0'0	onne	17
------	-----	------	----

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

28

- Did I read that correctly? Q.
- 2 Α. Yes, you did.
  - Do you recall that telephone call with Ms. Perry? Q.
  - Α. No, I don't.
    - Q. Do you recall the caveat that you refer to in your e-mail about reimbursement of defense costs?
      - Α. No, I don't.
    - 0. In response to that e-mail, Betty Viscione writes "It is my understanding that VS is obligated to pay defense costs until their limit is paid. Tendering their limits does not relieve them of their obligation to pay defense costs. Would you agree?" Did I read that right?
      - Α. Yes, you did.
    - Q. In response to that question, you respond the next morning with an e-mail that says, "Betty, I do agree," and then there's a quote from the Virginia policy language, Virginia Surety policy language, and then later in the e-mail you write, "The one problem I do anticipate is our self-insured endorsement number 28 provides a \$250,000 per occurrence SIR, including expenses. Therefore, our defense obligation applies once indemnity or expenses reach the \$250,000 dollar threshold. I am not familiar with the history of this issue, but it must have come up in other cases." Do you see where that is written?

	29
1	A. Yes, I do.
2	Q. Why would that be a problem?
3	MR. FRIM: Objection. Why would what be a
4	problem?
5	Q. In your e-mail you say "the one problem I do
6	anticipate is our self insured endorsement."
7	A. It was probably a poor choice of words.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. It's not really a problem. It's just more of an
10	issue rather than a problem. I should have said an issue,
11	perhaps.
12	Q. What would the issue be then? Why would it be an
13	issue?
14	A. Because we're discussing the obligations of
15	Virginia Surety and National Union to afford a defense, a
16	continuing defense, once the 250,000 self-insured
17	retention is exhausted or eroded.
18	Q. So you are noting there that the self-insured
19	retention is eroded in the National Union policy by
20	defense costs?
21	MR. FRIM: Objection.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Would that indicate to you that National Union
24	had a defense obligation once \$250,000 was spent?
25	MR. FRIM: Objection.

2.1

- A. That's what we were discussing.
- Q. Is that the issue that you were identifying in this e-mail?
- A. That's the issue we were discussing in the e-mail.
- Q. In response to your e-mail, Betty Viscione writes, and you will see this at the bottom of the first page of the exhibit, "This issue has not come up. The insured procured an insurance policy through Virginia Surety to cover the self-insured retention. The VS policy states that VS pays expenses in addition to the limits. I believe they would have an obligation to pay expenses until they exhausted the limit by payment of settlement dollars." Do you see that?
- A. Uh-hum.
- Q. In response to that e-mail, you write, "I agree, but based on the SIR, National Union has a defense obligation as does Virginia once the \$250,000 retention has been reached. Since both carrier have a defense obligation, perhaps the best resolution would be to split defense costs 50/50 once this expense is paid, reached \$250,000. The point is the Virginia Surety policy covers more than the self-insured retention." Did I read that right?
  - A. Yes, you did.

	3
1	Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that that was your
2	opinion when you wrote that e-mail to Betty Viscione?
3	MR. FRIM: Objection.
4	A. It's just a general discussion of how the
5	policies interacted vis-a-vis the self-insured retention
6	endorsement and the coverage provided by Virginia Surety.
7	Q. Okay. But when you wrote that e-mail, you meant
8	what you wrote, in essence?
9	MR. FRIM: Objection.
10	A. Based on what I had on what I was familiar
<b>L</b> 1	with the program, with the policy.
L2	Q. Have you reviewed the Virginia Surety at this
L3	policy at this point?
L4	A. More likely than not I probably saw their
L5	yeah, as a matter of fact, I think I might have had a copy
L6	of their policy. I might have. Probably.
L7	Q. Okay. What did you mean when you say, "Since
L8	both carriers have a defense obligation, perhaps the best
L9	resolution is to split defense costs 50/50 once expenses
20	paid \$250,000."
21	A. I think I was kind of referring to what is
22	commonly known as a coinsurance kind of situation.
23	Q. What distinguishes a coinsurance situation?
24	A. Where two policies may have the same obligation,

we duplicate the obligations under each.

25

25

	32
1	Q. In other words, once \$250,000 was spent, both the
2	National Union policy and the Virginia Surety policy would
3	answer?
4	MR. FRIM: Objection.
5	A. I think that's what we were discussing, yeah.
6	What I was suggesting might be a way to look at it.
7	Q. In fact, you say it might be perhaps "the best
8	resolution"?
9	A. Right. Okay.
10	Q. That's what it says?
11	A. That's what it says.
L2 <sub>.</sub>	Q. In response to your e-mail, Betty Viscione says,
L3	"I can't get my hands on our CGL coverage form right now.
L4	I would check the other insurance clause of our policy."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes, I do.
L7	Q. Why was she suggesting that you refer to the
18	other insurance clause of the policy?
19	MR. FRIM: Objection.
20	A. Because that provision provides some guidelines
21	as to how indemnity, really indemnity payments are to be
22	made where two or more policies apply to the same loss.
23	Q. In response you write, "Betty, I checked the
24	other insurance provision. The National Union policy is

primary coverage with a 250 K SIR. If that is correct,

0/	$u_{2}$	/ 21	סטנ

	33
1	the other insurance clause specifies how both damages and
2	defense costs under coverage A are to be shared." Did I
3	read that correctly?
4	A. Yes, you did.
5	Q. If I can direct your attention to a binder that
6	we've marked as Exhibit 3 for Mr. Maul's deposition, which
7	is this binder here. If you would, turn to page ME 461,
8	please.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. And if you look at the bottom of the page,
11	there's a paragraph that is numbered four. Do you see
12	that?
13	A. Uh-hum.
14	Q. It says, "Four. Other Insurance Period."
15	A. Uh-hum.
16	Q. And it includes three subparts, A, B, and C. Do
17	you see those? I think B and C are on the next page.
18	A. Uh-hum.
19	Q. Is this the other insurance provision that you
20	were referring to in your e-mail?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. The other insurance provision provides, "If other
23	valid and collectable insurance is available to the
24	insured for a loss we cover under coverages A or B of this
25	coverage part, our obligations are limited as follows "

25

that subparagraph B-1?

	34
1	and then it says "A, primary insurance," and then below
2	that after paragraph below that it says "B. Excess
3	insurance."
4	Under subparagraph A it reads, "This insurance is
5	primary except when B below applies. If this insurance is
6	primary, our obligations are not affected unless any of
7	the other insurance is also primary. Then we will share
8	with all of that other insurance by the method described.
9	See below." Did I read that correctly?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Now, as in this instance with the Hopkins case
12	subparagraph B says, "Excess insurance." Do any of the
13	criteria in subparagraph B apply to the Virginia Surety
14	policy?
15	MR. FRIM: Objection.
16	A. Can you repeat that?
17	Q. Sure. I can go through it, if you prefer. The
18	subparagraph B states, "This insurance is excess over any
19	of the other insurance whether primary, excess,
20	contingent, or on any other basis. One, that is fire,
21	extended coverage, builder's risk, installation risk, or
22	similar coverage for 'your work'."
23	Now, based on your familiarity with the Virginia
24	Surety policy, was the Virginia Surety policy covered by

25

			35
1	Α.	No.	
2		MR. FRIM: Objection.	
3	Α.	No.	
4	Q.	And subparagraph two says that is "fire insurance	ce
5	for prem	ises rented to you or temporarily occupied by you	u
6	with the	permission of the owner"?	
7	A.	No.	
8	Q.	And it does not apply?	
9		MR. FRIM: Objection.	
10	Α.	No.	
11	Q.	And subparagraph three says, "If the loss arises	S
12	out of t	he maintenance of use of aircraft, autos, or	
13	watercra	ft to the extent subject to exclusion not in	
14	coverage	A." Did that apply in this situation?	
15		MR. FRIM: Objection.	
16	Α.	I don't believe so.	
17	Q.	Are there any other circumstances identified in	
18	the othe	r insurance provision which would make the	
19	National	Union policy excess over any of the other	
20	insurance	e?	
21		MR. FRIM: Objection.	
22	A.	I don't know. I can't I don't know.	
23	Q.	Well, in the other insurance clause, which is	
24	paragrap	h four and has A, B, and C, would you agree that	

there are no other factors in subparagraph B other than

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

36

	the	three	that	WE	read?
- 1				we	Tean:

2 MR. FRIM: Objection.

- A. I don't really have any comment on it. I don't know what the issue is.
- Q. So when you checked the other insurance provision, which is what you wrote to Ms. Viscione, you concluded the "National Union policy is primary coverage with a 250 K SIR. If that is correct, the other insurance clause specifies how both damages and defense costs under coverage A are to be shared." So fair to say that you concluded that the other insurance clause applied and that both National Union's policy and Virginia Surety's policy were primary under the other insurance clause?

MR. FRIM: Objection.

- A. That seems to be what my conclusion was.
- Q. And you go on to write, "The Virginia policy is written on the same form, CG 00101/16 and both provide sharing by equal shares." Do you see that?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And when you say "both provide sharing by equal shares, were you referring to subparagraph 4C which is labeled "method of sharing" in the other insurance clause?
- A. Right, that's correct.
- Q. And that provides that "if all of the other insurance permits contribution by equal shares, we will

1	follow this method also"?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. "I will be sending a letter to VS stating
4	National Union has no defense obligation since their
5	policy has not paid its limits by settlement or judgment."
6	Do you see that
7	A. Right.
8	Q in your e-mail?
9	A. Uh-hum.
10	Q. Can you explain that to me?
11	MR. FRIM: Objection.
12	A. I guess there was an agreement that National
13	Union has no defense obligation until there was a payment
14	of its limits. Maybe off the record? I don't know.
15	Maybe there could have been a phone I don't know. I
16	have no idea. Because it seems I don't know. It's
17	written on the same form. I guess, you know, I must have
18	reached a conclusion that there's no defense obligation.
19	It says what it says. That's all I know.
20	Q. Did you have any telephone conversations with
21	Betty Viscione about this issue?
22	A. I have no idea.
23	Q. Do you recall if she spoke with you on the phone
24	between her 2:01 p.m. e-mail to you on the 9th and your
25	response almost an hour later?

1	CONTROL OF ATTIL MODEL
1	STATE OF NEW YORK ) PgofPgs
2	SS:
3	COUNTY OF NEW YORK )
4	I wish to make the following changes,
5	for the following reasons:
6	PAGÈ LINE
7	CHANGE:
8	REASON:
9	CHANGE:
10	REASON:
11	CHANGE:
12	REASON:
13	CHANGE:
14	REASON:
15	CHANGE:
16	REASON:
17	CHANGE:
18	REASON:
19	CHANGE:
20	REASON:
21	CHANGE:
22	REASON:
23	CHANGE:
24	REASON:
25	
	Paul O'Monnell, Deponent